State Strategic Business Plan - Part 3

V. ACCESS

Statewide consistency regarding access to services is a critical component of the mental health/developmental disabilities/substance services reform effort. Access to services must be ensured to all individuals who are Medicaid-eligible and/or meet a target population as identified in the State Plan. Individuals who rely on public sector systems often lack resources to obtain services from complex systems and their disability(ies) affect their ability to pursue access. These individuals may require specialized supports to access the services they need.

Prompt access to services, supports and treatment is necessary to make the most of opportunities to address crisis and to initiate treatment when it is needed. Time standards related to crisis response, preadmission screening, assessment and entry to ongoing services are established. Access systems must accommodate the needs of all persons, including those from different cultural backgrounds and with limited-English proficiency, as well as persons with mobility impairments. Services must be available within a reasonable distance of an individual's residence.

Objective	Та	sk/Strategy	Outcome/Product	Completion Date
V.A-1 The Division will design the statewide system of uniform portal (standardized access to services).	a)	Adopt standardized access criteria for use throughout the state, including: Ratio of designated access points per population and/or	Access criteria approved and disseminated to LMEs, included in annual State Plan revision on local business plans.	Oct. 1, 2002
decess to services).		 geographic area. Any restrictions as to type of agency/ location of designated access points. Minimum duties/ responsibilities of initial access points. Any limits on other 	MOA among all agencies participating in the DHHS I&A program signed with descriptions of relationship between the I&A system and each agency's specific access system.	July 1, 2006
	b)	services/supports provided at access points. Determine interface between local access systems and the DHHS information &	Waiting list policy and procedures approved and disseminated to LMEs, included in annual State Plan revision on local business plans.	April 1, 2003
	c)	assistance program (I&A). Develop state policy and procedures regarding maintenance of waiting lists.	Standardized protocols to facilitate consistent access process approved and disseminated to LMEs and other stakeholders.	April 1, 2003
	d)	Develop standardized protocols for: Screening. Assessments, including	Tracking system operational.	July 1, 2003
		risk-assessments and all assessment tools	Rules submitted for permanent rulemaking.	July 1, 2003

		approved for use in state.Referrals.Prioritization of waiting lists.		
	e)	Create database tracking system for uniform portal of entry and exit.		
	f)	Draft rules for implementation of uniform portal of entry and exit.		
V.A-2 The Division will provide for a single statewide access point to work in	a)	Develop specifications for statewide contract for uniform portal referral system.	Contract specifications completed and submitted for RFA development. [See I.D-1 (a).]	Oct. 1, 2002
tandem with local systems.	b)	Provide information and technical assistance (TA) to LMEs to promote linkages with statewide contractor for	Information and TA to LMEs available from the Division and the contractor.	July 1, 2003
		uniform portal referral system.	Real-time access data available to all appropriate parties.	Jan. 1, 2004
	c)	Develop technology to enable all parties (Division, LMEs and providers) to access data provided by statewide contractor, as appropriate.		

B. The Division will provide oversight of local access systems

Objective	Ta	sk/Strategy	Outcome/Product	Completion Date
V.B-1 The Division will institute access system performance standards.	a)	Develop performance indicators specific to system access based on population served and availability of non-LME providers in network.	Performance indicators included in quality management system for statewide reporting.	July 1, 2003
	b)	Monitor referrals to non-LME providers, as well as self-referrals, to determine if performance indicators are necessary.	Referrals outside of the network and self-referrals (in the cases of provider-LMEs) included in first and second year monitoring protocol. (Transition issue.)	July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2005
V.B-2 The Division will develop reporting procedures regarding access.	a) b)	Develop quarterly reporting protocols and formats, including sanctions for not reporting or late reporting. Create an aggregate state	Access reporting received quarterly and reported on statewide tracking reports. Sanctions for not reporting or late reporting included in annual State Plan revision.	Oct. 1, 2003
	0)	access report.	annual State Plan Tevision.	

VI. SERVICE MONITORING AND OVERSIGHT: QUALITY MANAGEMENT

Development of a quality management system is one of the fundamental building blocks of mh/dd/sas reform. The Division must ensure the health, safety and welfare of all service recipients and must create a system of continuous quality improvement at all levels. In order to be effective, the quality management system must integrate and analyze information from multiple sources and functions within the organization, such as customer services, access, consumer advisory groups and programs, as well as external sources. Quality management processes in public systems must be accountable to all stakeholders, including funding sources, policymakers, participants and the general public. The system must report its findings, including the assessment of quality improvement activities in a state level report and via local report cards.

A. The Division wi	A. The Division will create and implement a quality management system					
Objective	Та	sk/Strategy	Outcome/Product	Completion Date		
VI.A-1 The Division will develop and execute a comprehensive quality management (QM) system focusing on continuous quality improvement.	a) b)	Complete design of a multilevel, integrated quality management structure that provides consistency from the level of the individual consumer to the Division and is consistent with State Plan. Develop specific roles, responsibilities and qualifications for consumers and families in all	Internal QM structure designed fully and reported to LOC in a revised <i>Quality Management Plan</i> (included in <i>State Plan 2001: Blueprint for Change</i>). Design includes roles and responsibilities of consumers and specifies means for general citizen involvement.	Jan. 1, 2003		
	c)	components of QM system at all levels. Create mechanisms throughout QM structure that provide for citizen	Memorandum of agreement among necessary agencies signed and designates responsibilities and relationships between/among the	Jan. 1, 2004		
	d)	involvement. Describe both internal and external components of the system, delineating the relationship between the two.	mh/dd/sas QM system and other participants. Technology necessary to support the QM system operational.	July 1, 2003		
	e)	Ensure that all data and technological systems support and facilitate operation of the QM process.	Quality assurance (QA) & quality improvement (QI) activities adopted, procedures delineated and published in State Plan	July 1, 2003		
	f)	Designate specific QM activities required throughout the system, including but not limited to: • Monitoring for health safety and welfare. • Incident and death reporting. • Abuse, neglect & exploitation investigations.	revision.			

		Monitoring use of physical		
		and chemical restraints.		
VI.A-2 The quality management system will be outcome-	a)	Identify all existing outcome tools and data collection efforts. Develop an integrated data set to measure the	Outcome measures approved and disseminated to all stakeholders.	July 1, 2002
based.		indicators regarding specified outcome domains consistent with State Plan.	Reported in quarterly report to the LOC.	Oct. 1, 2002
	b)	Finalize comprehensive outcome measures and pilot for reliability and validity.	Outcome measures tested and monitoring system initiated.	July 1, 2003
VI.A-3 The Division will develop performance indicators for all	a)	Review current performance agreement to identify most robust data currently being collected and its utility to all	Performance indicators approved and disseminated to all stakeholders.	Oct. 1, 2002
levels of the system to be included in the	h)	parties.	Reported in quarterly report to LOC.	Jan. 1, 2003
quality management process.	b)	Develop performance indicators for those issues determined to most effectively measure the impetus of the reform effort, such as: Access standards. Financial accountability measures. Resource equity. Efficacy of service delivery.	Performance indicators tested and monitoring system initiated.	July 1, 2003
	c)	Incorporate performance indicators into comprehensive outcome measurement plan and pilot for reliability and validity.		
VI.A-4 The Division will develop measurement criteria for models of best practice to be included in QM system.	a)	Making use of Robert Wood Johnson/SAMHSA and other national tool kits (educational resources & communications materials), as appropriate, review & evaluate standards on person centered planning, cultural competence, assertive community treatment, psychiatric rehabilitation, case management and other models of best practice.	Initial measurement criteria for models of best practices adopted and included in first year implementation of monitoring system.	July 1, 2003
	b)	Based on the review above, establish measurement criteria for models of best practices designated as performance indicators and		

		included in report cards.		
VI.A-5	a)	Establish monitoring protocols	Monitoring protocols	Jan. 1, 2003
The Division will	<u> </u>	for each level of the system:	approved and reported in	34.11 1, 2000
develop a monitoring		the individual consumer,	quarterly report to LOC.	
and oversight		provider, LME and	qualitarity repetit to 10 of	
process as part of		state/Division. Describe the	Qualifications and duties of	Jan. 1, 2003
the QM system.		interface among all levels.	monitors/auditors adopted	34.11 1, 2000
Q		mice race among an reverse	and disseminated to all	
	b)	Set qualifications and	stakeholders.	
	,	responsibilities of		
		monitors/auditors.	Monitors/auditors recruited,	July 1, 2003
			trained and credentialed.	, ,
	c)	Credential monitors/auditors		
	'	at all levels, including	MOA coordinating state-	July 2003
		consumers and family	level monitoring and	,
		members.	oversight of local public and	
			private system signed by all	
	d)	Ensure coordination and	appropriate participants.	
		collaboration with all other		
		monitoring and oversight	Revised <i>Quality</i>	Jan. 1, 2003
		agencies to ensure non-	Management Plan includes	,
		duplication of effort and that	recommendations regarding	
		any redundancy is intentional	national accreditation. [See	
		as a safeguard. Specifically	VI.A-1 (a).]	
		work with Division of Facility	() 2	
		Services (DFS) on issues	Framework for reporting	Jan. 1, 2004
		pertaining to licensure review.	system using a report card	
			methodology adopted and	
	e)	Determine role of national	information and technical	
		accreditation within the	assistance on its operation	
		Division's QM system.	available.	
	f)	Develop framework for report	The first year results of	Oct. 1, 2004
		cards that includes results of	outcome measure/	
		monitoring against outcome	performance indicator	
		measures and performance	monitoring completed and	
		indicators in QM Plan.	report cards issued.	
	g)	Implement comprehensive		
		outcome measurement/		
		performance indicator plan		
VI A C	->	and issue initial report cards.	Final wangst with	Oct 1 2002
VI.A-6	a)	In collaboration with DHHS	Final report with	Oct. 1, 2002
The Division will		and the Governor's Advocacy	recommendations on	
incorporate		Council on Persons with	consolidation of	
consumer rights,		Disabilities (GACPD), finalize	advocacy/ombudsman	
protections, appeals		report on how to best	efforts submitted to the	
and grievances into		consolidate and/or work with	Secretary.	
the overall QM		other advocacy and ombudsman efforts in state	Upon approval by the	lan 1 2002
system.			Upon approval by the	Jan. 1, 2003
		system.	Secretary, report added to	
	h)	Based on recommendations	the quarterly report to LOC.	
	b)	above, develop plan to	Plan for Division operated	July 1, 2003
		provide a mh/dd/sa consumer	consumer rights and	July 1, 2003
		protection system.	protection program	
	<u> </u>	protection system.	proceduri program	l

_			
	Specifically address role,	completed with interface to	
	responsibilities and	external system and	
	operational procedures for	submitted to the Secretary.	
	any internal (Division based)		
	consumer rights and	Program operational.	Jan. 1, 2003
	protection programs and how		
	they interface with external	Revised <i>Quality</i>	Oct. 1, 2003.
	advocacy programs.	Management Plan includes	
		state policy requirements	
c)	Establish state policy with	regarding consumer rights,	
	respect to requirements for	protections, appeals and	
	consumer rights, protections,	grievances. Also included	
	appeals and grievances at	are procedures for	
	each level of the mh/dd/sa	arbitration and dispute	
	system.	resolution. [See VI.A-1 (a).]	
(d)	Develop procedures for	Information, educational	April 1, 2004
	arbitration and dispute	materials, training and	
	resolution for consumers and	technical assistance	
	family members.	packages available for all	
e)	Produce information and	target audiences on	
	educational materials on	consumer rights and	
	consumer rights, protections,	protections as well as	
	appeals and grievances for	exercising the rights of full	
	use throughout the state.	citizenship.	
f)	Create training and technical		
	assistance materials to	Practice guidelines and/or	July 1, 2004
	support LME and provider	protocols for employing	
	staff in assisting adult service	appropriate safeguards	
	recipients to exercise their full	adopted and disseminated	
	rights as citizens.	to all stakeholders.	
g)	Establish new and effective		
	consumer safeguards tailored		
	to the requirements of a		
	participant-driven system.		
D. The Division will no	omoto a gualified workforce :	os a component of the gual	·

B. The Division will promote a qualified workforce as a component of the quality management system.

Objective	Ta	sk/Strategy	Outcome/Product	Completion Date
VI.B-1 The Division will establish competency requirements for all	a)	Complete competency requirements for all staff levels, including disability specific criteria as necessary.	Revised competency document of State Plan inclusive of VII.B-1 (a) & (b) submitted to LOC.	Jan 1, 2003
segments of the mh/dd/sa workforce.	b)	Ensure competency requirements are based on best practices and include appropriate professional certifications/licensure and performance standards.	Qualified prevention professional for substance abuse services adopted in rule. The applicability of a prevention specialist in other disability categories determined in revised	Dec. 1, 2002
	c)	Adopt competencies for qualified prevention professional, specifically addressing national substance	competency document of State Plan. (See above.)	

		abuse criteria. Determine if these competencies are relevant for all disabilities.		
VI.B-2 The Division will manage a comprehensive training and education strategy	a)	Develop and maintain a mh/dd/sa competency, education and training system that is coordinated among system members and is based on best practices.	An education and training plan for maintaining the competency-based system completed and added to the LOC quarterly report.	Jan. 1, 2003
to support the new quality management system.	b)	Establish a staff development plan for state level staff to facilitate system reform.	Staff development activities targeted to state-level staff occurring and events reported in quarterly progress reports.	July 1, 2002 and ongoing
	c)	Create curriculum components necessary to support a competency- based system.	Curricula developed and available through all appropriate public education and training	Jan. 1, 2005
	d)	Establish criteria/qualifications for faculty/trainers including inter-rater reliability.	venues across the state and qualified trainers conducting classes/events.	

VII. EVALUATION

Internal and external evaluation of the state mh/dd/sas system is fundamental to reform and must be based on outcomes and performance indicators that are comparable to those applied to other components of the system. The state system is accountable to executive and legislative policy makers and North Carolina taxpayers and therefore must widely publish results of evaluations and assessment. In a time of system reform, an assessment of both progress and impact of change must be included in any evaluations. The Division will participate in independent studies at the state and national level, as appropriate, and report the findings.

A. The Division will create capacity for self-evaluation within the Division.					
Objective	Task/Strategy	Outcome/Product	Completion Date		
VII.A-1 The Division will conduct internal evaluations of state performance for	a) Establish performance indicators for Division operations, plan implementation and progress in system reform, including	State-level performance indicators adopted and tested for reliability and validity.	April 1, 2003		
public review.	such items as:Meeting State Plan timelines.Timely/accurate	Internal evaluation procedures adopted and implemented.	July 1, 2003		
	 responsiveness to LMEs. Indicators of prevention focus. Statewide consistency in operations. Decreased reliance on institutional services. 	Initial statewide system report card issued.	Oct. 1, 2004		
	b) Design and implement a process for internal assessment of performance.				
	c) Present state-level system report card covering State Plan implementation, consumer outcomes and system reform.				
VII.A-2 The Division will create a methodology for	a) Establish quality improvement policy and procedures specific to state performance.	Quality improvement process approved and operational.	Jan. 1, 2004		
conducting continuous quality improvement (CQI) for state operations.	b) Designate staff from all components of Division to engage in CQI process.				
B. The Division w	B. The Division will participate in independent studies and assessments				
Objective	Task/Strategy	Outcome/Product	Completion Date		
VII.B-1 The Division will participate in	Assess outcome/ performance measurements to ensure incorporation of sufficient	National publications continue to report on North Carolina's outcomes.	Ongoing		

national studies and evaluations.		data points to compare North Carolina's system with national benchmarks for state performance, including disability-specific comparisons. [See VI.]	Recommendations for participation in national and state studies on reform efforts included in quarterly report to the LOC.	Oct. 1, 2003 and quarterly thereafter, as applicable.
	b)	Pursue new studies being conducted around the country assessing reform efforts.		
	c)	Collaborate with universities and research organizations within North Carolina interested in developing research protocols to assess reform initiatives and state mh/dd/sa performance.		
VII.B-2 The Division will explore opportunities for additional external review.	a)	Examine plausibility of obtaining national accreditation or certification as a state level agency in the field of mh/dd/sas.	Recommendations for funding an external evaluation of the mh/dd/sas system over time included in the quarterly report to LOC.	Oct. 1, 2003
	b)	Explore the feasibility of retaining a national management research firm such as MGT, Public Consulting Group, Inc. (PCG), and/or Human Services Research Institute (HSRI) to conduct an evaluation of the reform effort as a follow up to the original studies conducted (pre-post methodologies).		
C. The Division wi	II en	sure adequate oversight of s	tate contracts and grants.	
Objective	Та	sk/Strategy	Outcome/Product	Completion Date
VII.C-1 The Division will create a performance based contracting system.	a)	Establish performance specifications for each contract and/or memorandum of agreement (MOA) entered into by Division.	Performance based contract system instituted in the Division. Contract performance reviews conducted semi-	April 1, 2003 Jan. 1, 2004
	b)	Develop contract/agreement management procedures consistent with DHHS policy and regulations.	annually. All MOAs reviewed and updated annually as appropriate and	Jan. 1, 2004
	c)	Conduct reviews and assess performance of individual contractors and incorporate into Division reporting process.	enforcement measures taken when necessary.	
	d)	Establish a review and		

		assessment process for all MOAs entered into by Division and work with Department to establish enforcement measures in rule.		
VII.C-2 The Division will evaluate the efficacy of statewide utilization	a)	Develop criteria for measuring performance of the UM entity on an ongoing basis.	Performance specifications and methods of measurement included in solicitation (RFA) and executed contract.	July 1, 2003
management (UM).	b)	Establish benchmarks/ performance indicators for utilization management functions over time, and compare state and local performance with the benchmarks.	UM functions assessed annually and state/local comparisons, as well as ratings of cost efficiency and effectiveness, available in report cards.	Oct. 1, 2004
	c)	Analyze efficacy and cost- efficiency of state UM contractor and incorporate into Division reporting process.		